## Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 99 Filed 10/11/06 Page 1 of 4

Document 96 Filed 08/18/2006 Page 1 of 4 Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ E-filing FILED 1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP FRANKLIN B. GOWDY (SBN 47918) MOLLY M. LANE (SBN 149206) 2 BRETT M. SCHUMAN (SBN 189247) One Market, Spear Street Tower 3 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126 Tel: 415.442.1000 RICHARD W. WIEKING 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Fax: 415.442.1001 5 Attorneys for Protego Networks LLC f/k/a Protego Networks, Inc. (Plaintiff, Counterclaim Defendant and 6 Counterclaimant in Reply) and Counterclaim Defendants 7 Imin Lee, Partha Bhattacharya and Yu Liao 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 11 PROTEGO NETWORKS, INC., a Case No. C05-00464 MJJ 12 Delaware corporation, Plaintiff, 13 STIPULATION AND [PROPÓSED] ORDER TO EFFECTUATE SETTLEMENT 14 v. DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY, 15 Defendant. 16 DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY, 17 Counterclaimant, 18 v. 19 PROTEGO NETWORKS LLC, PARTHA BHATTACHARYA, IMIN LEE, AND YU 20 LIAO, 21 Counterclaim Defendants. PROTEGO NETWORKS LLC, 22 23 Counterclaimant in Reply, 24 ٧. 25 DANIEL N. ZENCHELSKY, 26 Counterclaim Defendant in Reply. 27 28

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN ENAMED OF

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 96 Filed 08/18/2006 Page 2 of 4

Counterclaimant Daniel Zenchelsky ("Zenchelsky") and Counterclaim Defendants Protego Networks LLC ("Protego"), Imin Lee, Partha Bhattacharya, and Yu Liao (together, "Counterclaim Defendants") file this stipulation and proposed order to effectuate a tentative settlement of this litigation.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on or about July 6, 2005, Zenchelsky obtained the following registration certificates for works that he claimed to have either authored or co-authored: Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 4 (TXu1-247-626); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 3 (TXu1-247-632); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 2 (TXu-1-247-628); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Typology Version 2 (TXu1-247-630); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Typology (TXu-1-247-627); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture and Marketing Requirements; Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture (TXu1-247-629); Nimda Worm Scenario; and Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture (TXu1-247-631).

WHEREAS, in his operative Answer and Third Amended Counterclaim, Zenchelsky alleges in a seventh cause of action that Counterclaim Defendants have infringed his purported copyrights;

WHEREAS, in their Reply to Third Amended Counterclaim, Counterclaim Defendants interposed several affirmative defenses to Zenchelsky's copyright infringement claim, including, but not limited to, invalidity of the copyright registrations, lack of originality, and fraud on the copyright office by Zenchelsky;

WHEREAS, Zenchelsky in his response to the counterclaim has denied all allegations of lack of originality and fraud;

WHEREAS, Zenchelsky and Counterclaim Defendants have entered into a settlement agreement resolving this litigation. In that agreement, Zenchelsky and Counterclaim Defendants have agreed, among other things, that: (1) the registration certificates identified above should be

SAN FOANCING

Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 96 Filed 08/18/2006 Page 3 of 4

canceled; (2) the deposit copies of the works submitted by Zenchelsky to the Copyright Office are claimed by Protego to contain confidential information and trade secrets; and (3) the Copyright Office should deliver the deposit copies of the works submitted by Zenchelsky to counsel for Protego, or treat the deposit copies as confidential and proprietary material;

WHEREAS, Zenchelsky and Counterclaim Defendants understand that an Order from this Court directing the Copyright Office to take the aforementioned actions is required.

## **STIPULATION**

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Zenchelsky and Counterclaim Defendants, through their respective counsel, that:

- 1. The Court should declare that Zenchelsky's registrations, and asserted copyrights, in the works entitled Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 4 (TXu1-247-626); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 3 (TXu1-247-632); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Version 2 (TXu-1-247-628); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Typology Version 2 (TXu1-247-630); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture- Typology (TXu-1-247-627); Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture and Marketing Requirements; Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture (TXu1-247-629); Nimda Worm Scenario; and Description of Software Code and Combined Hardware Architecture (TXu1-247-631) are invalid and should be canceled by the Copyright Office.
- 2. The Court should direct the Copyright Office to return to Protego's counsel. Brett freat the deposit Copies of Go if they had been freed Schuman of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP. Spear Street Tower, One Marker, San Francisco, Dorson to the Copyright Office, Cincular Of Pertaining California 94105, the deposit copies of all works submitted by Zenchelsky with the copyright the legistrations identified in the preceding paragraph or, at a minimum, direct the Trade Secrets. May Copyright Office to treat the deposit copies as confidential and proprietary material.

SO STIPULATED.

## Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 99 Filed 10/11/06 Page 4 of 4

	Case 3:05-cv-00464-MJJ Document 96	Filed 08/18/2006 Page 4 of 4
1	Dated: August / 2006	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2		$\mathcal{D}$ $\mu$
3		Ву
4		/ Brett M. Schuman Attorneys for Protego Networks LLC f/k/a Protego
5		Networks, Inc. (Plaintiff, Counterclaim Defendant and Counterclaimant in Reply) and Counterclaim
6		Defendants Imin Lee, Partha Bhattacharya and Yu Liao
7	Dated: August / ?, 2006	KANE AND SILVERMAN P.C.
8		
9		Howard G. Silverman
10		Attorneys for Counterclaimant and Counterclaim Defendant Daniel N. Zenchelsky
11 12		
13	PROPOSED ORDER	
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16		
17		$\wedge$
18	Dated: 10 11 , 2006	The Hon. M. J. Jenkins
19		Judge of the United States District Court
20		
21		
22		
23		·
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
5 &	4	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EFFECTUATE

MORGAN, LEWIS BOCKIUS LLP ATTURNITYS AT LAW